UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,734	05/19/2006	Peter Franz Ertl	PG5023	5039
20462 7590 05/31/2007 SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220			EXAMINER	
			KINSEY, NICOLE	
P. O. BOX 1539 KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1648	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/533,734	ERTL, PETER FRANZ
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nicole E. Kinsey, Ph.D.	1648
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Apply be timely filed  FHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-32,35 and 36 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) 1-32,35 and 36 are subject to restrict the subject the subject to restrict the subject to restrict the subject the subject to restrict the subject the subje	Irawn from consideration.	ment.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-24, 28-31 and 36, with a technical feature of a polynucleotide comprising a sequence encoding an HIV envelope protein, which is substantially nonglycosylated.

Group II, claims 25-27, with a technical feature of a fusion protein comprising a substantially nonglycosylated HIV envelope protein.

Group III, claim 32, with a technical feature of a method for treating a patient by administering a composition comprising a vector encoding an HIV envelope protein, which is substantially nonglycosylated.

Group IV, claim 35, with the technical feature of a method for producing a polynucleotide of Group I.

Art Unit: 1648

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The technical feature shared among the inventions listed as Groups I-IV is a polynucleotide comprising a sequence encoding an HIV envelope protein, which is substantially nonglycosylated. The noted shared technical feature does not provide a contribution over the prior art, as evidenced by the teachings of Desrosiers et al. Desrosiers et al. discloses glycosylation deficient SIV and HIV envelope glycoproteins, nucleic acids encoding the glycosylation deficient glycoproteins, viral genomes containing the mutant glycoproteins, and viral particles expressing the glycosylation deficient glycoproteins (see, for example, pages 17-20). Hence, in the absence of a contribution over the prior art, the noted shared technical feature is not a shared special technical feature. Without a shared special technical feature, the inventions listed as Groups I-IV lack unity with one another.

## Notice of Possible Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Art Unit: 1648

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1648

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not

patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nicole E. Kinsey, Ph.D. whose telephone number is

(571) 272-9943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday

from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Nicole E. Kinsey, Ph.D.

Examiner

Art Unit 1648

/nk/

/Stacy B. Chen/ 5-29-2007

Primary Examiner, TC1600